1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO	
2	EASTERN DIVISION	
3	INTERD CHARGO OF AMEDICA CO. S. No. 5.00 am 200	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 5:20-cr-262 Cleveland, Ohio	
5	Plaintiff,	
6	vs. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2020	
7	CHRISTIAN FERGUSON,	
8	Defendant.	
9		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS  HELD VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE	
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE SOLOMON OLIVER, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13	A DDEADANCEC.	
	APPEARANCES:	
14	For the Government: Om M. Kakani,  Assistant United States Attorney	
15		
16	For the Defendant: Carolyn M. Kucharski,  Assistant Federal Public Defender	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	Chief Court Reporter: Sarah E. Nageotte, RDR, CRR, CRC United States District Court	
22	801 West Superior Avenue Court Reporters 7-189	
23	Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 357-7186	
24		
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced with computer-aided transcription.	

1	(Proceedings commenced at 3:33 p.m.)
2	
3	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
4	Is everybody ready?
15:34:03 5	MR. KAKANI: Yes, Your Honor.
6	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Call the case?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, the case before
9	the Court carries Case Number 5:20-cr-262, United States of
15:34:14 10	America versus Christian Ferguson.
11	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
12	Will counsel for the United States introduce himself
13	for the record.
14	MR. KAKANI: Yes. Good afternoon, Judge.
15:34:25 15	Om Kakani on behalf of the United States. I am here
16	on behalf of AUSA Duncan Brown.
17	THE COURT: I didn't mean to say herself. I
18	think I said herself, but
19	MR. KAKANI: No worries, Judge.
15:34:39 20	THE COURT: Will counsel for defendant
21	introduce herself for the record.
22	MS. KUCHARSKI: Carolyn Kucharski on behalf of
23	Christian Ferguson.
24	THE COURT: All right. And the court reporter
15:34:49 25	is present and taking down what we say. And my courtroom

deputy is also present.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

15:35:04 5

15:35:22 10

15:35:44 15

15:36:14 20

15:36:36 25

And, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Christian Ferguson, you are present by way of Zoom videoconference today also.

Isn't that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We're holding this conference by Zoom, Zoom videoconference, because we're not holding in-court proceedings now. I haven't been holding any. And the reason -- the reason is because of the coronavirus. And that's pretty consistent throughout our court. There may be a few exceptions made for compelling reasons, but, really, because of the virus, our orders say very clearly that we are not to hold in-court proceedings, so that's why we're doing this by videoconference.

I set this down, Mr. Ferguson, because I had received letters from you and other requests. Normally, of course, a defendant will speak through his or her counsel. So if there's a request or a motion, then you will communicate that through your counsel, your counsel will advise you as to whether it makes sense to do what you're asking, then you will come to some decision about whether you will move forward through counsel.

But we normally are not -- I'm not taking letters or other kind of information from defendants, but I'm normally looking to counsel for a lot of reasons. One is because

counsel is familiar with the Court, how the Court operates.

Counsel knows how to put requests into proper form. The other is that if you communicate too much, you may very well communicate some things that you don't want to reveal to the Government. And I'm not saying you have to be secretive about anything, but it's just that you have to use discretion in terms of your communications.

You should be aware that when you communicate with me that it's not confidential. I don't have confidential relationships with the parties or their counsel. So anything that you share with me, I'm free to share that with the parties. And, you know, I'm trying to protect you by suggesting that you work in the normal way.

Now, I don't mean to say that there is never a time when a defendant should contact the Court or should try to communicate with the Court in writing, I can't say there's never a time because sometimes there's a compelling reason, and if a defendant feels that he or she has no other recourse and they cannot request the Court -- I'm sorry -- they don't feel they can request through their lawyer that the Court hold a hearing or the Court would convene a proceeding to hear their issues.

So I'll stop there, but I wanted to be clear at the beginning that -- that that's a normal process.

Now, I know you know the coronavirus has been -- has

15:38:28 25

15:40:27 25

15:38:53 5

15:39:11 10

15:39:32 15

15:40:01 20

been a problem for us all, and we try to work through it, but it has been a problem. Before I hear from you, the things that are on your mind, I'd like to hear from Ms. Kucharski in terms of the status of the case, things that have been going on throughout the case, whether there have been any discussions about possible pleas, those other kinds of things before I hear from Mr. Ferguson.

MS. KUCHARSKI: Your Honor, I have received discovery from the Government, and discovery is complex in the format that they provided it to the defense, which we discussed at an earlier pretrial.

And I know, or I believe, that that's one of the sources of frustration for Mr. Ferguson. Although, I did place some discovery on a CD and had that sent down to CCA so he could begin to review some of that discovery.

I have had discussions with the Government by way of where they saw Mr. Ferguson's guidelines, and these were with Mr. Brown, not Mr. Kakani, and there are -- I should say, there's a big difference where each side sees the guidelines.

I have been in communication with Mr. Ferguson, but I will agree that our communications are very unproductive.

We just, you know, have not managed to work past some issues, to the point now where I understand he placed in the letter that he wanted to have me removed from the case and

have new counsel appointed.

And while I don't normally take those things lightly or -- or concede that there should be new counsel, in this case, because we just really cannot or have not been able to communicate at all, and, you know, most of the time he's hanging up on me, and I will admit, too, that I lost my temper with him as well, I almost think that it's beneficial at this point for him to have new counsel.

We have a very big disagreement. And this is one of the things that we cannot get past. As the Court's aware, I filed a previous motion for bond, which was denied by the Court. And Mr. Ferguson believes that I have to file another bond motion every 30 days. And I basically told him that I was not going to do that, and that's a big issue between us and we can't ever really move past that because he's fixated on that.

THE COURT: Well, one of my concerns -- I'll hear you out -- but one of my concerns would be, what if I appointed a new counsel and it sounds like we may have the same problems?

Because when a lawyer is a professional, he is not going to do necessarily whatever he or she is told to do if they feel like there is no reasonable basis to do it.

Lawyers are an officer of the Court and if you know, for example, filing a motion for bond every 30 days is not

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

15:40:52 5

15:41:57 20

22

21

17

18

19

23

24

15:42:16 25

1 likely to enure to anybody's benefit, then you're not likely 2 to file one. And I don't know of any counsel, maybe there is somebody, who would do such a thing. 3 4 So if those are the kinds of requests that are making it difficult for you to work with Mr. Ferguson, then he 15:42:35 5 might as well stay with you because I don't think that other 6 7 lawyers would be listening to that either. 8 MS. KUCHARSKI: Well, and I -- and I 9 understand the Court's point, Your Honor. That's just one example. And I almost feel like, you 15:42:48 10 11 know, maybe he'd be more receptive to a male lawyer or 12 another lawyer telling him that, you know, I'm not telling 13 him things that are not true. 14 So I -- I just think -- you know, I guess we'll hear 15:43:11 15 from Mr. Ferguson and see what his thoughts are, but --16 THE COURT: Do you have the impression it's a 17 male/female issue? 18 THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't. I'm sorry. 19 MS. KUCHARSKI: I --15:43:23 20 THE COURT: Let her finish. 21 MS. KUCHARSKI: I believe that's part of it. 22 THE COURT: All right. Because I'll hear from 23 him, but I have no tolerance for that. You know, the female 2.4 lawyers who come before the Court should be presumed equally 15:43:44 25 competent as any male lawyers, and, in my experience, that's

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

15:44:53 25

15:44:29 15

15:44:43 20

15:43:58 5

15:44:16 10

not a dividing line between whether you're a male or a female.

But I understand what you're saying, Ms. Kucharski.

Don't misunderstand me. I understand you.

Mr. Ferguson, you may speak. Be careful not to reveal any confidences -- any confidential information that could be detrimental to your case in doing so.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

Mainly, I don't see a problem with the gender.

There's nothing, like, just because Ms. Kucharski is a female, I don't see that as a problem.

The problem I'm having is that mainly every time I try to call, the beginning of May, I was always getting cussed out saying: I did file blank and blank.

And then, also, every time I -- I try to hold my tongue and I don't swear or anything like that, but every time I try to ask her: Can we put this in the bond?

Because I'm looking on the computer, because we have a law library, and I was trying to look on the computer, like, trying to find ways I can help to defend myself and do whatever I can, and I was trying to say that, but she wouldn't include any things into my bond when I put the first motion in.

And then, mainly, when I was -- I talked with -- there's counselors here that you can talk about your case

with confidentially and they -- I was trying to tell them
what can -- what type of things can I do to prove my
innocence and everything, and when I try to bring that to
Ms. Kucharski, I never could -- it was always butting heads.

It -- usually, whenever I tried to call, I even tried to call my grandmother and, like, say -- I try to tell her what's going on, like, why this -- I -- like, I need to find a new lawyer because, mainly, every time I call, nothing is being put in.

I wasn't saying to put a bond motion in every 30 days. I simply saw on the Bail Reform Act that I -- that I looked on the law library that every 30 days that a defendant can apply. I wasn't saying I was going to do it every month for May, June, July.

It's mainly -- I meant to put one in in May because
I'm trying to get my address confirmed, I just needed to get
the phone number after so I was ready to go, but once it got
denied, I realized I had to wait at least 30 days and try
again.

But in my second motion, like I had wrote to you, I was trying to prove to you in saying that this is what I have proven, this -- and I give you a background of what I am. I'm not what the Government is trying to say I am. I'm not that type of person. I was trying to put that into my first motion, but, unfortunately, it was failed to do so.

15:45:06 5

15:45:19 10

15:45:34 15

15:45:44 20

15:45:58 25

15:46:55 25

15:46:34 15

15:46:46 20

15:46:09 5

15:46:24 10

The only thing I got to put -- the problem with the first motion, I feel it was rejected is why -- because it looks like I'm just some young kid just trying to say you can let me out because I have a history of asthma. I was trying to say there was more reasons behind that, but, unfortunately, I didn't get to express every last reason.

And that's the reason why I felt I had to get new counsel, because I feel like the counsel doesn't really care about my case truly, and, really, I'm trying to fight for my life. I don't want to spend life in jail or any time -- any more time in jail for something I didn't do, and I was trying to express that, but, unfortunately, I never could get that through to you. That's the reason I was thinking that it's best if I just get a new lawyer.

But there's no prejudice. There's no -- like I said,
I don't see a problem with no race, no color. I've [Zoom
audio interference] Rastafari and I don't see a gap in
anything just because you're male or female, black, white,
Asian. It doesn't bother me at all. So I just want that on
the record. I don't see that as an issue. That's not an
issue.

The issue is, the reason I want a new lawyer is because I want someone who is actually going to fight for me and actually can see that I am trying to tell the truth, not just someone looking at me like I'm just another number or

statistic, because I'm not.

15:47:10 5

15:47:29 10

15:47:51 15

15:48:10 20

15:48:25 25

THE COURT: Okay. Let me -- let me just make a couple of comments, and then I'll decide about whether you get a new lawyer or not.

I hear what Ms. Kucharski has said about she perhaps thinks that might be a better course too.

One, there's no question, lawyers should be professional and they should treat people courteously. But I can tell you this, the lawyers know, and they don't have to take abuse from people that they're defending, and they don't have to -- you know, hanging up and all that kind of stuff on them, that is not to be tolerated by any lawyer who is working in the federal court. I don't believe that they would put up with it.

The second thing, they're likely to be turned off -it doesn't mean that you ever turn your back on good
information, but the likelihood to be turned off and hearing
continually about what someone in the jailhouse is telling
you about how you can defend yourself, most lawyers are
going to be totally turned off by that, because they're
lawyers and they're there to defend you and they know how to
put together a case.

And a lot of stuff, the advice that you get down there, gets your head thrown off, and that's hard for somebody to put out for you. That's going to be a fact.

2.4

15:48:39 5

15:48:54 10

15:49:09 15

15:49:26 20

15:49:46 25

The first thing that's going to happen, if you get a new lawyer, they're going to be very strict with you.

They're going to sit down and they're going to tell you:

Look, I'm here to defend you. I've been a lawyer for 20 years, 25 years. I've handled these kinds of cases, many of them. Now -- and I know how to go about defending you the best I can. I don't -- I'll listen to you. You tell me what you think, and then I'll tell you what I think you should do.

There's two different things: You tell me what you think and I tell you what you should do. Then you're going to have some respect for the person who is telling you, based on the experience, what you should do.

It doesn't go like they're going to -- it's your case, you're right, and if you want your case, then the lawyers will give it to you. You will handle it by yourself. You won't have a lawyer, period, after a while.

So I just want you to know there's something about what you're saying, these bond motions, you seem to kind of just rattle them off and file them every so often, that doesn't do a bit of good. If you're going to file a bond motion, a new bond motion, you're going to have to have some new, compelling information in it that wasn't available before and wasn't presented before. You can't assume that you keep filing them, you're going to get it.

Now, I'm not saying you'll never get it on the second chance. I'm not saying that. Because I won't prejudge a motion. So I'm just trying to tell you, you can't have an attitude or you'll be dashed down. The good lawyers I know will not put up with it and they will tell you point-blank: I'm not doing it, because what you're giving me to do, asking me to do is going to, at least if it doesn't hurt your case, it's not going to help you.

And sometimes they will tell you: This is going to hurt your case because all it will look like is that you're just throwing papers at the Court, and they have credibility that they have to have with the Court if they want to be viewed as effective. Nobody is going to respect a lawyer who just files anything that a defendant tells him to file. It's not going to happen.

I'm just trying to tell you that. You'll be butting heads with the next lawyer as much as you butted heads with Ms. Kucharski if you take that position.

Now, I'm going to give you a new lawyer, but I'm going to tell you what, I don't feel like I have to give you a new lawyer under the law. Let me be clear. I want you to have a lawyer of your own choosing, so to speak, and -- but I've appointed a lawyer for you, I appointed a competent lawyer for you.

What I hear from her and from you doesn't tell me that

15:51:00 20

15:51:18 25

1 she's not doing her job. It does tell me that you've got 2 some differences. I'm not sure they're irreconcilable. But I will err on the side of making sure that you have somebody 3 4 that you would like to have. I will err on that side. So I don't know at this moment who the new counsel 15:51:39 5 6 will be. I'll have to look over the roster and appoint you new counsel, and then I'll inform you -- I'll inform new 7 8 counsel that they have your case and they'll be in contact 9 with you. That's what I intend to do --15:51:58 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 12 THE COURT: -- based on your request. 13 I want you to have another opportunity to confer with 14 a lawyer, and I don't want you to continue to feel like Ms. 15:52:13 15 Kucharski is not selling your case or that there's some 16 problems in your case because of her. I haven't found any 17 problems with her representation, but I don't want you 18 feeling that way. 19 I want you to start out fresh with somebody new, tell 15:52:28 20 them what's on your mind, and then you've got to respect 21 them, because they're not you, they're your lawyer. 22 You understand that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, you know what happens when

you get a new lawyer? It means that it will take a bit of

2.4

15:52:43 25

1	time for the new lawyer to get up to speed before they can
2	then move ahead. That means that they've got to get all the
3	information from Ms. Kucharski. They've got to get all the
4	discovery. They've got to become familiar with it. And
15:53:03 5	then they've got to get in touch with you and become
6	familiar with you, what you're interested in doing. And so,
7	it's going to take some additional time.
8	You understand that?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
15:53:14 10	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Kucharski, are you
11	satisfied with this outcome?
12	MS. KUCHARSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kakani, did you
14	have any comments at all you wish to make?
15:53:28 15	MR. KAKANI: No, Your Honor.
16	Thank you.
17	THE COURT: All right. Now, remember what I
18	told you: You wrote me this letter, and you've got some
19	other papers here, but when you have a counsel, you don't
15:53:41 20	write the Court with requests setting out the law.
21	Supplementary you wrote something called supplementary
22	bail motion hearing and you sent that to me. That hasn't
23	been filed, to the best of my knowledge.
24	If you want that something filed like that, you
15:54:00 25	talk to your counsel and see if they'll file it, but you

1	don't file that, so don't send those papers here to me no
2	more like that.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: And you only communicate with me
15:54:11 5	if it's something that you feel is so compelling that you
6	can't do it any other way.
7	Do you understand that?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. Okay. That will be
15:54:21 10	all.
11	MS. KUCHARSKI: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	MR. KAKANI: Have a good afternoon, Judge.
13	
14	(Proceedings concluded at 3:54 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	CERTIFICATE
18	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter
19	prepared from my stenotype notes.
20	/s/ Sarah E. Nageotte 12/5/2021 SARAH E. NAGEOTTE, RDR, CRR, CRC DATE
21	SARAH E. NAGEOTTE, RDR, CRR, CRC DATE
22	
23	
24	
25	